

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,423	03/04/2002	Toshikazu Ohnishi	Q68580	1370	
23373	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
	ON, DC 20037	2, IN. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744	2	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/086,423	OHNISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Mohammad M Ali	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 January 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) no	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "reference gas A and subject gas B' as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "22e – 22h, 22c and 23b. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

It is discloses in specification, "In general, when the fluid flows within a flow path having a constant tubular diameter at a predetermined flow rate, the flow rate becomes minimum at the center portion of the tube and the flow rate becomes quite large at the portion near the wall of the tube, as shown in Fig. 1c." See page 9, lines 14-18. As a former student of Fluid Mechanics, I believe the above quoted disclosure is just reverse of standard rule of Fluid mechanics. However, The Examiner requests The Applicant to explain the feature in detail for better understanding if it really happens in a special circumstances.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,423

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Decker (5,149,380). Decker discloses a multi component fluid mixing and dispensing device comprising a valve 25 connected to a nozzle 27 to control supply of another fluid 21 to the flow of one fluid 22.; and the nozzle 27, tip of which is disposed at a center portion of flow of one fluid 22. Deckler also discloses other fluids A and B enters the mixing chamber 11 in a perpendicular direction to the fluids 21 and 22. See fig 1.For claim 3, The Examiner considers one of the fluid A and B as one fluid as claimed in claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,423

Art Unit: 3744

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decker in view of Harada (6,312,761). Decker discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above including a controller 30. However, Decker dose not disclose first and second controller. Harada teaches the use of first controller 45 and second controller 46 in a multi component fluid mixing device for the purpose of control the gas mixing in a desired proportion. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multi component fluid mixing and dispensing device of Decker in view of Harada such that first and second controller could be provided in order to control the gas mixing in a desired proportion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat. # 6,446,883 to Huang et al., # 5,105,889 to Misikov et al., # 5,819,987 to Miller, # 5,390,647 to Schechter, # 5,480,597 to Ishida et al., # 6,412,708 to Bohringer, # JP02000332314A to Shiratsuyo.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5032. The examiner can be reached from 6:10am to 5:50pm from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached at (703) 308-2597. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7764 for regular communications and after-final communications.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

William I Tapolcal Primary Examiner Art Unit 344

mu

Ma

November 6, 2003